Reporting for: <u>July 1 – November 30 of the current year!</u>

Agency Name: Lutheran Services in Iowa

Program Name: Family Development/Education

Brief Description of Program:

Parent education programs aimed at increasing confidence and competence in parenting skills. The educational programs are chosen based on the needs of the parent participants, the issues they are currently dealing with in their families, and; the ages of their children. Each program addresses child abuse prevention by; (1) increasing parents' knowledge of parenting skills and child development, (2) effective parent communication and; (3) positive discipline strategies; (4) effective praise and encouragement of children,; (5) ways to bolster children's self-esteem, and; (6) methods to reduce parental stress. Programs include: After Baby Comes (ABC) Parent group and Nurturing Program for parents of infants. Both programs include free childcare access during sessions.

Program/ Service Outcome (Change/ Benefit to Clients/ Community) – please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update on program/ service outcome from July 1 to date:

Staff works to meet the needs of families who attend programs though LSI's People Place. Several staff are trained in the Nurturing curriculum and are able to facilitate the group and maintain continuity should the main facilitators become unavailable due to illness, or other circumstances.

The benefit of the programs offered, to both the families and the community, are that parents are learning new skills to not only support their children, but to further support and enhance their parenting skills. Since parents are also being connected with other community resources they are becoming more resourceful and confident in seeking out services, should the need arise.

Program goals and subsequent outcome include:

- 80% of parents will report an increased confidence in parenting.

Measurement Used (How Often, Tools Used) – please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update on measurement used from July 1 to date:

Our programs utilize the protective factors, and the Nurturing Parent curriculum. Evaluations are completed after each speaker as well as after each course. Speakers or workshops vary during ABC but at minimum we have two speakers a month. Nurturing parent runs a session in the Fall

and Spring, each session is around 8-10 classes. Evaluations are completed, compiled and discussed with staff members during supervision.

3. <u>Measurement Update (Please provide update on measurement data collected based on the</u> <u>ABF 5(O) from July 1 to date</u>):

Our protective factor forms are submitted to PCA lowa. Evaluations are collected and evaluated quarterly to measure parent satisfaction.

4. <u>Outcomes Achieved (Result to Clients/ Community) – please refer back to the corresponding</u> <u>ABF 5(O) and provide an update on the outcomes achieved from July 1 to date</u>:

After evaluating our first quarter results 100% of parents report an increased confidence in parenting and are satisfied with the service.

5. <u>Barriers Encountered (please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update</u> <u>on the barriers encountered from July 1 to date</u>):

A challenge our morning group faces is communication with our families due to cultural and language barriers. We have been able to address this by having speakers come in and present slower, and spend more time asking questions. It has also helped having a People Place staff member in the parent room when guests are here, but due to our staffing that isn't always an option.

6. <u>Clients Served (please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5 Service Statistics and provide an</u> <u>update on number of clients served from July 1 to date</u>):

To date the program has served 30 unduplicated families and 34 unduplicated children.

7. <u>Have you had to turn any clients away that desire to participate in this program? If so, why? If so, how many? If so, when?</u>

We have not had to turn away any families from the program.

8. Comments:

The Nurturing parent education group meets the 2nd and 4th Monday of each month. A meal and childcare is provided. Topics covered are: Brain Development, Empathy, Nutrition, Ages and Stages of development, Developing Personal power, Praising children and their behavior.

After Baby Comes (ABC) meets Tuesday-Thursday mornings. At minimum a presenter is brought in once as month to discuss issues related to community events for low income families to partake in or various Parenting education topics. To date presentations have included Ames yoga studio, MICA First Five, Parents as Teacher Parent Education to discuss bringing a new baby home, City of Ames housing coordinator, and Mary Richards (music). We have also taken the family on small field trips to the Ames Fire station, The Octagon festival of trees, and Blacks Pumpkin Farm.

Change/ Benefits demonstrated for client/ community?	Yes	No
Quantifiable Outcome Measures?	Yes	No
Outcomes Reported?	Yes	No

Reporting for: July 1 – November 30 of the current year!

Agency Name: Lutheran Services in Iowa

Program Name: Crisis Child Care

Brief Description:

Lutheran Services in Iowa's (LSI) Crisis Child Care (CCC) program provides free, short-term child care to families that are experiencing an emergency or crisis situation (i.e. death in the family, hospitalization, homelessness or domestic violence) and lack the resources and/or social supports to provide safe, appropriate care for their children. The program serves children age birth to 12. Placements are provided for a maximum of 72 continuous hours in a registered child development home. The program is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week via cell phone which is assigned to either program staff or "back up" providers at all times. The availability of Crisis Child Care reduces the number of parents forced to select a potentially unsafe care environment for their children when a crisis or emergency situation arises.

1. Program/ Service Outcome (Change/ Benefit to Clients/ Community) – please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update on program/ service outcome from July 1 to date:

The program or service outcome stated in 17/18 proposal would be the percentage of children returning home safely. The program has benefited the community by providing a safe environment for children while their parents are experiencing a crisis or emergency situation. The program goals and outcomes include:

1. To return children safely to their home-100% of children were successfully returned to their home 2. To offer referral and information to families that utilizes the program, as well as those that may be in need of placement services in the future-100% of families received referrals

3. To increase program awareness throughout the community-A variety of outreach strategies were utilized to increase program awareness throughout the community including phone contacts, personal meetings and group presentations

2. <u>Measurement Used (How Often, Tools Used) – please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O)</u> and provide an update on measurement used from July 1 to date:

Through intake and paperwork we track the number of children going into CCC and the number of those children that are able to return home without further involvement by DHS. Our paperwork includes: intake, eligibility determination, information about child(ren), time child(ren) went into CCC, time returned home (if returned home) after CCC, who transporting, and which provider providing CCC.

3. <u>Measurement Update (Please provide update on measurement data collected based on the</u> <u>ABF 5(O) from July 1 to date</u>):

Quarterly we track and report on the number and percentage of children returning safely home after placement through intake and paperwork. This includes reports to funders and reviewing information via LSI's Continual Quality Assurance system.

Additionally, LSI has a staff member not involved with the transport/process follow up with families one month after utilizing CCC to garner input on staff, program and any suggestions changes if necessary.

4. Outcomes Achieved (Result to Clients/ Community) – please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update on the outcomes achieved from July 1 to date:

- 1. To return children safely to their home-100% of children were successfully returned to their home.
- 2. To offer referral and information to families that utilize the program, as well as those that may be in need of placement services in the future-100% of families received referrals or additional information
- 3. To increase program awareness throughout the community- Staff have connected with Story County Sherries office, ISU international students and scholars, Kiwanis, Northwood Preschool, Nevada Police and fire department, Nevada Central Elementary, Department of human services, ACCESS, Mary Greely Ames Police department, MICA, YSS, Story City Library, Huxley Whistle Stop academy, and Lily Pad learning center.

*results calculated quarterly

5. Barriers Encountered (please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update on the barriers encountered from July 1 to date):

A barrier we continue to encounter in Story County is the lack of child care options, especially for children 0-5. Providers are registered day care providers through DHS and are required to maintain a specific ratio, the younger the child the smaller the ratio. We are running into our providers being full on a regular Monday-Friday 7a-6p basis. Therefore we have had to turn some families away this fiscal year. With providers being full during the week, and having their own children on the weekends we are seeing less and less providers willing to sign up for crisis child care. Currently we have 8 providers on our list, but would prefer 10. People Place works with CCR&R and completes their own marketing to providers in hopes to gain additional providers throughout the fiscal year.

6. <u>Clients Served (please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5 Service Statistics and provide an</u> update on number of clients served from July 1 to date):

Crisis child care has served 8 unduplicated families and 18 unduplicated children from July 1st- November 30th 2017 for a total of 463.55 hours.

7. <u>Have you had to turn any clients away that desire to participate in this program? If so, why? If</u> so, how many? If so, when?

We have had to turn 3 families away and 6 children (unduplicated).

8. Comments:

Families may use crisis child care more than once, which is common based on the degree of challenges the family may be facing,

Change/ Benefits demonstrated for client/ community?	Yes	No
Quantifiable Outcome Measures?	Yes	No
Outcomes Reported?	Yes	No

Reporting for: <u>July 1 – November 30 of the current year!</u>

Agency Name: Lutheran Services in Iowa

Program Name: Parents as Teachers

Brief Description of Program:

The Parents as Teachers (PAT) program is an evidence-based home visitation program that offers support and education to at-risk parents of children ages 0-5 in Story County. Through Individualized home visits with a certified Parent Educator the program strives to help parents understand their role in encouraging their child's development from the beginning. Participation in the program is voluntary and the frequency of home visits is determined based upon the individual needs of the family. Goals are individualized to meet family needs. Additional support is provided through connections to community resources, activities and monthly group meetings.

1. Program/ Service Outcome (Change/ Benefit to Clients/ Community) – please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update on program/ service outcome from July 1 to date:

The PAT parent educators have completed the required basic foundational and model training to meet the affiliate requirements. Additionally, the parent educators have completed the PAT 3-kindergarten training and car seat technician training. Additional training give staff the opportunity to focus more on specific ages and areas in learning to enhance and benefit the parent and child's early educational experience.

The community has identified the need for early education opportunities that promote learning, healthy development and prevent child abuse. The PAT program addresses factors related to these issues to improve outcomes for children and supporting parents in their parenting role to promote optimal child development and positive parent-child interactions. Due to the majority of PAT families experiencing language and cultural barriers PAT parent educators collaborate with other community organizations to help offer resources and referrals when appropriate.

Program goals and subsequent outcomes include:

- 1) 95% of participant families will be linked to at least two additional supports per quarter
- 2) 98% of participant families will not have a confirmed child abuse report while in PAT program at LSI
- 3) 85% of participant families will not have a report of child abuse while in PAT program at LSI
- 4) 80% of father figures involved with the child will actively participate in at least 1 home visit per quarter
- 5) 90% of families will show evidence of maintained or increase in self-sufficiency

2. <u>Measurement Used (How Often, Tools Used) – please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O)</u> and provide an update on measurement used from July 1 to date:

PAT evaluates and monitors program success utilizing (1) Annual PAT curriculum compliance evaluation by the PAT National Center; (2) Input of demographics, visits, goal progress, health history, parental Depression Screens, ASQ Developmental Screens and Life Skills Progression into Daisey (state-wide) and/or Vision Works (LSI) databases. Early Childhood steering committees analyze data quarterly, making recommendations to the program; (3) LSI Quality Improvement monitoring quarterly; (4) Developmental milestones monitored and documented at each visit: (5) Service Coordinator shadows home visits and contacts families for suggestions to increase visit effectiveness; (6) Satisfaction Surveys conducted after the first 8 weeks of visits and twice per year thereafter.

3. <u>Measurement Update (Please provide update on measurement data collected based on the</u> <u>ABF 5(O) from July 1 to date</u>):

PAT continues to use the measurement tools stated above to demonstrate family satisfaction, relationship building between child and parent(s), child development and understanding and goal progress. The life skills progression worksheet is done every 6 months, based upon the initial enrollment date of the family and the LSP is designed to measure changes and or maintenance in parenting practices. The PAT updates cannot be completed on a participant until the family has completed a second LSP during the funding year.

4. Outcomes Achieved (Result to Clients/ Community) – please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update on the outcomes achieved from July 1 to date:

- 1) Participating families will be linked to two community supports each quarter- 100%
- 2) Participating families will not have a confirmed case of child abuse- 100%
- 3) Participating families will not have a reported case of child abuse- 100%
- 4) Father figures will be actively engaged in Parents as Teachers service- 64% (The fathers in our program work as well as attend school. We make every effort to schedule visits to meet with fathers but their schedules are extremely full. They spend the weekends with their children doing activities)
- 5) Participating families will maintain or increase self-sufficiency- 86%

Results are based on quarter one of the fiscal year.

5. <u>Barriers Encountered (please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update</u> on the barriers encountered from July 1 to date):

Throughout the first quarter we have started outreach to gain families beyond the University and have continued our waitlist. We meet with the family to enroll and let them know there is a waitlist but will still work with the family to gain access to other community resources. Keeping in contact with these families we have seen our waitlist stay strong and the families still want a spot when one comes available. Typically in the past when dealing with families and a waitlist we have seen families become disengaged. This is why we have tried a different approach this year and have seen more success.

The last barrier we face is having Father figures involved during home visits. The majorities of our fathers work outside the home or attend the University which makes scheduling difficult. The PAT parent educators are willing to make visits in the evening or weekends to increase father involvement but this tends to interfere with family obligations. Culturally, some families strongly identify parenting roles and Fathers do not attend visits.

6. <u>Clients Served (please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5 Service Statistics and provide an</u> update on number of clients served from July 1 to date):

As of November 30th the PAT program has served 43 families and 51 children with a total of 381 visits done by our Parent Educators.

7. <u>Have you had to turn any clients away that desire to participate in this program? If so, why? If so, how many? If so, when?</u>

To date we have five families on our waitlist and are hoping to get them in after the new year.

8. Comments:

Change/ Benefits demonstrated for client/ community?	Yes	No
Quantifiable Outcome Measures?	Yes	No
Outcomes Reported?	Yes	No

Reporting for: July 1 – November 30 of the current year!

Agency Name: Lutheran Services in Iowa

Program Name: Story County School Based Mental Health Services

Brief Description of Program:

LSI provides school based therapy to uninsured and under insured Story County students (grades K-12) who do not qualify for other state programs that are also experiencing behavioral and emotional issues which impede success in school. Struggling students receive mental health assessments; work on established treatment goals - developed through a collaborative process involving the student, family, school and therapist – to address behavioral and mental issues impeding success at school; and connection to formal and informal resources when discharged.

1. <u>Program/ Service Outcome (Change/ Benefit to Clients/ Community) – please refer back to the</u> corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update on program/ service outcome from July 1 to <u>date</u>:

The future of the Affordable Care Act still remains an unknown quantity for all lowans, but especially the most vulnerable among us, children and youth. Any legislative acts that may inadvertently prevent Story County residents from accessing affordable mental health care would significantly impact child and youth well being. LSI is addressing this through advocacy with our elected officials and creating public awareness of the importance of accessing to health care. LSI also considers applying to foundations, granting sources, and other philanthropic groups and organizations for funds to support this work.

2. <u>Measurement Used (How Often, Tools Used) – please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O)</u> and provide an update on measurement used from July 1 to date:

• 75% of students reduced clinical behavioral symptoms (measured through recording clinical symptoms present at intake with those at discharge).

We served one student through Story County ASSET and they successfully reduced their clinical symptoms from 19 at admit in September to 11 clinical symptoms recorded in November.

• 75% of students achieved at least 50% of their established treatment goals (measured through review of established treatment goals completion at discharge).

This student's goal completion is assessed at 75%.

• 75% of students and staff would recommend this service to other students in their school (measured through student surveys taken quarterly).

We have no respondents to our surveys to date.

• 75% of students reported an increase in their feelings of being able to succeed in school due to the therapy they received (measured through student surveys taken quarterly).

We have no respondents to our surveys to date.

3. <u>Measurement Update (Please provide update on measurement data collected based on the</u> <u>ABF 5(O) from July 1 to date</u>):

1. Treatment plan goal completion at discharge (ratings include 100% met, 75% met, 50% met, 25% met, and 0% met). Goals are developed in collaboration with student, parents and school.

100% goals met - 0% Over 75% goals met - 100% 50-75% goals met - 0% Less than 50% goals met - 0% Less than 25% goals met - 0%

2. Reduction in behavioral symptoms (recorded at baseline and discharge in 2016-17).

100% of clients had a decrease of symptoms at time of discharge.

3. Client & school satisfaction with services gleaned from surveys taken twice annually.

No survey results are available at the time of this reporting.

4. <u>Outcomes Achieved (Result to Clients/ Community) – please refer back to the corresponding</u> <u>ABF 5(O) and provide an update on the outcomes achieved from July 1 to date</u>:

LSI provided school based therapy to one uninsured/under insured Story County student who did not qualify for other state programs and who was also experiencing behavioral and emotional issues which impede success in school. This student received a mental health assessment; work on established treatment goals - developed through a collaborative process involving the student, family, school and therapist – to address behavioral and mental health issues impeding success at school; and will be connected to formal and informal resources when discharged. As a result, this student is regaining and developing abilities and insights to function and succeed in the school environment, while reducing or eliminating clinical behavioral symptoms impeding their success. They progressed from exhibiting 19 clinical behavioral symptoms to eleven.

5. <u>Barriers Encountered (please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update</u> <u>on the barriers encountered from July 1 to date</u>):

The income eligibility criteria and process parameters around program participation became more restrictive once DCAT funding termed for this service July 1, 2017 and the advent of ASSET funding. The process for referrals involves pre-authorization and pre-approval for services through CICS, which is more restrictive than the previous process under Story County DCAT. Moreover, the service units through CICS are short-term if approved and inadequate to providing comprehensive, in depth school based therapy. Some families feel the eligibility process is too long and invasive, opting not to apply. Schools were identifying few if any uninsured or under insured students this fiscal year, largely due in fact to most students who were identified as having emotional or behavioral issues requiring intervention were insured.

6. <u>Clients Served (please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5 Service Statistics and provide an</u> <u>update on number of clients served from July 1 to date</u>):

One student was served through Story County ASSET funds during this reporting period of July 1 – November 30, 2017. Outside of these contracted ASSET funds, twenty-one students were served through our school based contract with Ames Community School District and another thirty-six students were served throughout Story County schools through Medicaid and private insurance. Those additional thirty-six students include Ames High and Middle school, and Gilbert, Nevada, and Roland Story school districts.

7. <u>Have you had to turn any clients away that desire to participate in this program? If so, why? If</u> so, how many? If so, when?

Yes, one client was declined for services due to not falling within income eligibility guidelines. Two others withdrew from the referral/eligibility screening process. The table below provides details on referral dates, denial/withdrawal dates, and reasons for both.

Student	Date	Date	Reason Students/Families were turned away
	Referred	Denied/Withdrawn	
1	09/15/17	09/28/17	Denied services by CICS due to family not
			meeting income eligibility criteria.
2	08/30/17	09/20/17	Withdrew – family did not want to provide
			information on income, assets, etc.
3	09/10/17	10/1/17	Withdrew – family never followed up with
			therapist after initial referral for services was
			made.

8. Comments:

The income eligibility criteria and process parameters became more restrictive once DCAT funding termed for this service July 1, 2017. The process for referrals involves pre-authorization and pre-approval for services through CICS, which is more restrictive than the previous process under Story County DCAT. Moreover, the service units through CICS are short-term if approved and inadequate to providing comprehensive school based therapy. Some families feel the eligibility process is too long and invasive, opting not to apply. Schools were identifying few if any uninsured or under insured students this fiscal year, largely due in fact to most students who were identified as having emotional or behavioral issues requiring intervention were insured.

Change/ Benefits demonstrated for client/ community?	Yes	No
Quantifiable Outcome Measures?	Yes	No
Outcomes Reported?	Yes	No

Reporting for: July 1 – November 30 of the current year!

Agency Name: Lutheran Services in Iowa

Program Name: Hourly Supported Community Living

Brief Description of Program:

LSI's Services for People with Disabilities (SFPD) provides children and adults with disabilities and chronic mental illness learn life skills for independence. We also provide Respite to family members needing a break.

We support people in leading lives full of meaning and fulfillment and in making moments they can be proud of. That might look like learning how to take CyRide for the first time, shopping for groceries, or learning how to use the public library.

1. Program/ Service Outcome (Change/ Benefit to Clients/ Community) – please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update on program/ service outcome from July 1 to date:

Clients are receiving vital services that enable them to maintain or increase their level of independence in the community. Community based services such as ours help to keep our clients stay out of institutional placements and higher levels of care, such as an RCF or extended psychiatric hospitalizations. Those higher level placements are also much more costly to the state.

2. <u>Measurement Used (How Often, Tools Used) – please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O)</u> and provide an update on measurement used from July 1 to date:

We measure the progress and barriers for each of the people we serve on a monthly basis, reporting out in quarterly updates to their corresponding funder. Progress and barriers are tracked via program notes and often times on individual tracking forms.

3. Measurement Update (Please provide update on measurement data collected based on the ABF 5(O) from July 1 to date):

Out of the people who are currently able to meet with staff, approximately 85% are willing to work on at least one goal consistently, and have made progress since July 1^{st} .

4. Outcomes Achieved (Result to Clients/ Community) – please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update on the outcomes achieved from July 1 to date:

In previous years, NS (Hab waiver) was hospitalized multiple times for psychiatric reasons. Since starting to meet more consistently with staff, NS has not been hospitalized in the past ten months. This is a huge step for NS. EM has had services with SCL staff since 2008. In the past year he has moved into his own apartment and was able to complete all of his goals that he wanted to complete. He has gone from meeting with staff multiple times per week, to not needing staff at all. EL (Child ID waiver) has a goal of healthy coping skills. He has been able to talk with staff to have a list of coping skills that he uses. EL has been able to redirect himself to a different activity when he becomes escalated over 80% of the time when he is with staff.

5. <u>Barriers Encountered (please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5(O) and provide an update</u> on the barriers encountered from July 1 to date):

Clients choose to meet infrequently/don't meet for a full session (2 hours). This is by far the biggest challenge to staff supporting clients consistently and in being able to make progress towards goals.

6. <u>Clients Served (please refer back to the corresponding ABF 5 Service Statistics and provide an</u> update on number of clients served from July 1 to date):

There are 33 active clients in our Ames hourly SCL program.

7. Have you had to turn any clients away that desire to participate in this program? If so, why? If so, how many? If so, when?

We asked four referrals if they would be willing to wait a month or two until we had more staff to provide services. These referrals decided to try a different company for services.

8. Comments:

Change/ Benefits demonstrated for client/ community?	Yes	No
Quantifiable Outcome Measures?	Yes	No
Outcomes Reported?	Yes	No